Programming in GLSL is not programming in C

Some traps, performances issues, compilation failures
Some recommended computation models

ref: shadertoyunofficial blog

Table of Content

- Various important details
  - misc
  - compilation
- What happens to your code at compilation
- What happen to your code at run-time

→ Some recommendations

- Some more details

Disclaimer: bias glsl, wGl, fragment [shadertoy :-D]

Various important details: misc

- Many built-in funcs:
  - geometry: reflect, refract, length, dot, cross, normalize… [+ clamp, mix, smoothstep…]
  - matrices: ops on n×m up to 4
  - textures, interp, MIPmap [but some bugs]

- Loose specs :
  - loose IEEE:
    - NaN not treated by every built-in funcs (min, max, clamp, smoothstep…)
    - denormalized
  - NaN and Inf for const vs non const
  - loose portability

- Think procedural (pull/Eulerian rather than push/Lagrangian :-)) :
  - loop on regular items
    → guess which items can cover the pixel
    → rely on mod(); distance function [example , crowded]

Various important details: misc

- Many built-in funcs:
  - geometry: reflect, refract, length, dot, cross, normalize… [+ clamp, mix, smoothstep…]
  - matrices: ops on n×m up to 4
  - textures, interp, MIPmap [but some bugs]

- Loose specs :
  - loose IEEE maths: [IEEE 754 floats]
    - NaN not treated by every built-in funcs (min, max, clamp, smoothstep…)
    - denormalized numbers
  - NaN and Inf for const vs non const
  - loose portability

- Think procedural (pull/Eulerian rather than push/Lagrangian :-)) :
  - loop on regular items
    → guess which items can cover the pixel
    → rely on mod(); distance function [example , crowded]

Various important details: misc

- Many built-in funcs:
  - geometry: reflect, refract, length, dot, cross, normalize… [+ clamp, mix, smoothstep…]
  - matrices: ops on n×m up to 4
  - textures, interp, MIPmap [but some bugs]

- Loose specs :
  - loose IEEE maths: [IEEE 754 floats]
    - NaN not treated by every built-in funcs (min, max, clamp, smoothstep…)
    - denormalized numbers
  - NaN and Inf for const vs non const
  - loose portability

- Think procedural (pull/Eulerian rather than push/Lagrangian :-)) :
  - loop on regular items
    → guess which items can cover the pixel
    → rely on mod(); distance function [example , 2 , crowded]

Various important details: compilation

- Many language targets:
  - openGL vs openGL ES vs webGL vs Vulkan vs HLSL [WebGL 2.0 ~ OpenGL ES 3.0 ~ OpenGL 3.3 + 4.2]
  - version
  - extensions (+ core vs legacy)
  - `get_program_binary()` vs `> cgc bug.glsl -ogles -profile fp40` [nvidia-cg-toolkit]

- Compilation steps:
  - web: Angle patches (browser dependent)
  - web: possibly, transpilation to HLSL/D3D (version browser dependent)
    or choice of openGl target language
  - GLSL compiled into ARB (in driver)
    HLSL compile into intermediate (in D3D) then ARB (in driver) [maybe ?]
  - ARB compiled into PTX (on GPU)
  - rewriting (for bug/perf fixes + optimizations) occurs at every steps

NB: Bug reports: https://bugs.chromium.org community ultra-efficient [mix of Nvidia/Intel/Microsoft/Angle/Chrome coders]

Various important details: compilation

- Many language targets:
  - openGL vs openGL ES vs webGL vs Vulkan vs HLSL [WebGL 2.0 ~ OpenGL ES 3.0 ~ OpenGL 3.3 + 4.2]
  - version
  - extensions (+ core vs legacy)
  - `get_program_binary()` vs `> cgc bug.glsl -ogles -profile fp40` [nvidia-cg-toolkit]

- Compilation steps:
  - web: Angle patches (browser dependent)
  - web: possibly, transpilation to HLSL/D3D (D3D version browser dependent)
    or choice of openGl target language (desktop vs ES vs wGl)
  - GLSL compiled into ARB (in driver)
    HLSL compile into intermediate (in D3D) then ARB (in driver) [maybe ?]
  - ARB compiled into SAS (on GPU) [Cuda: PTX then SAS]
  - code rewriting occurs at every steps (for bug/perf fixes + optimizations)

NB: Bug reports: https://bugs.chromium.org community ultra-efficient [mix of Nvidia/Intel/Microsoft/Angle/Chrome coders]

What happen at compilation

- no true functions → inlined
- loops → optimizer unroll if it can
- branches → both might be evaluated

[no stack, no recursivity, macro-like]

[even if gives stupidly long code or compile time or endless]

```
while ( marching ray, up to 100 steps ) {
   p = next ray sample
   if hit(p) {
          eval N(p); eval material(p);
          I = shadow(p,L)*color(L);
          outColor = shading(N,material,I);
          break;
       }
}
hit(p); // compute intersection against N shapes parts. + possible proceduralism.
N(p);   // finite difference on shape                    [ hopefully not doing FDiff(hit(p)) ]
shadow(p,L); // march shadow ray (loop, hit, material… )
material(p); // procedural noise, textures fetches, ...
```

What happen at compilation

- no true functions → inlined [no stack, no recursivity, macro-like]
- loops → optimizer unroll if it can [even if gives stupidly long code or compile time or endless]
- branches → both might be evaluated

```
while ( marching ray, up to 100 steps ) {
   p = next ray sample;
   if hit(p) {
          eval N(p); eval material(p);
          I = shadow(p,L)*color(L);
          outColor = shading(N,material,I);
          break;
       }
}
hit(p); // compute intersection against N shapes parts + possible proceduralism.
N(p);   // finite difference on shape                [ hopefully not doing FDiff(hit(p)) ]
shadow(p,L); // march shadow ray (loop, hit, material… )
material(p); // proceduralism, noise, textures fetches, ...
```

What happen at run-time

Conditional branching vs divergence (SIMD)

- Facts: divergence in warp → both branches evaluated for all [& textures fetches ?]
  - big then/else blocks → (code length), runtime length
  - loop + if (end) break → can give messy code
  - `dFdx, dFdy, fwidth` undetermined, or 0, or rand...
  - texture LOD undetermined, or 0, or rand… or might hide 4 x code duplicate → manual LOD
  - dF, LOD: pushed out of early exited loop won't save. True deferred will.

- Myths:
  - In many situation, unlikely divergence in warps (are just 32 pixels)
  - If process in branch is small, no problem
    `mix( expr0, expr1, float(cond) )` is just counterproductive ! [but mix(v0,v1,bvec) is ok]
  - `?:` compiles just like shorts `if else` [still some doubt how chains of ?:?:?: are evaluated]

What happen at run-time

Conditional branching vs divergence (SIMD)

- Facts: divergence in warp → both branches evaluated for all [& textures fetches ?]
  - big then/else blocks → (code length), runtime length
  - loop + if (end) break → can give messy code
  - `dFdx, dFdy, fwidth` undetermined, or 0, or rand...
  - texture LOD undetermined, or 0, or rand… or might hide 4 x code duplicate → manual LOD
  - dF, LOD: pushed out of early exited loop won't save. True deferred will.

- Myths: 'if' is not Evil per se
  - In many situation, unlikely divergence in warps (are just 32 pixels) [but dithered code is evil]
  - If branch block is small, no problem
    `mix( expr0, expr1, float(cond) )` is just counterproductive ! [but mix(v0,v1,bvec) is ok]
  - magic thinking: `?:` compiles just like shorts `if else` [still some doubt how ?:?:?: is evaluated]

→ Recommendations

- Deferred heavy processing out of loops:

  replace `if (end_condition) { process; break; }`

  with `if (end_condition) { set_parameters; break; }`

- Deferred heavy processing out of branches:

  replace `...else if ( cond_N ) do_action(params);`

  with `...else if ( cond_N ) set_parameters;`

- Specialize functions, or use branches inside only if triggered by const params:
  - worst case would be `shape( P, [not const] kind, params )`
  - shadows: loop, hit, material should be simpler

- Forbid unrolling when stupid:

  `for (int i=0; i<N+min(0,positive not const); i++)`

- Special flags and qualifiers : [out of my competence]

  `#pragma optimize(off), varying, coherent, volatile, restrict, readonly, writeonly...`

→ Recommendations

- Deferred heavy processing out of loops:
  replace `if (end_condition) { process; break; }`
  with `if (end_condition) { set_parameters; break; }`

- Deferred heavy processing out of conditional branches:
  replace `...else if ( cond_N ) do_action(params);`
  with `...else if ( cond_N ) set_parameters;`

- Specialize functions, or use branches inside only if triggered by const params:
  - worst case would be `shape( P, [not const] kind, params )`
  - shadows: loop, hit, material should be simpler

- Forbid unrolling when stupid:
  `for (int i=0; i<N+min(0,positive not const); i++)`

- Special flags and qualifiers : [out of my competence]
  `#pragma optimize(off), varying, coherent, volatile, restrict, readonly, writeonly...`

→ Recommendations

- Deferred heavy processing out of loops:
  replace `if (end_condition) { process; break; }`
  with `if (end_condition) { set_parameters; break; }`

- Deferred heavy processing out of conditional branches:
  replace `...else if ( cond_N ) do_action(params);`
  with `...else if ( cond_N ) set_parameters;`

- Specialize functions, or use branches inside only if triggered by const params:
  - worst case would be `shape( P, [not const] kind, params )`
  - shadows: loop, hit, material should be simpler

- Forbid unrolling when stupid:
  `for (int i=0; i<N+min(0,positive not const); i++)`

- Special flags and qualifiers : [out of my competence]
  `#pragma optimize(off), varying, coherent, volatile, restrict, readonly, writeonly...`

→ Recommendations

- Deferred heavy processing out of loops:
  replace `if (end_condition) { process; break; }`
  with `if (end_condition) { set_parameters; break; }`

- Deferred heavy processing out of conditional branches:
  replace `...else if ( cond_N ) do_action(params);`
  with `...else if ( cond_N ) set_parameters;`

- Specialize functions, or use branches inside only if triggered by const params:
  - worst case would be `shape( P, [not const] kind, params )`
  - shadows: loop, hit, material should be simpler

- Forbid unrolling when stupid:
  `for (int i=0; i<N+min(0,positive not const); i++)`

- Special flags and qualifiers : [out of my competence]
  `#pragma optimize(off), varying, coherent, volatile, restrict, readonly, writeonly...`

→ Recommendations

- Deferred heavy processing out of loops:
  replace `if (end_condition) { process; break; }`
  with `if (end_condition) { set_parameters; break; }`

- Deferred heavy processing out of conditional branches:
  replace `...else if ( cond_N ) do_action(params);`
  with `...else if ( cond_N ) set_parameters;`

- Specialize functions, or use branches inside only if triggered by const params:
  - worst case would be `shape( P, [not const] kind, params )`
  - shadows: loop, hit, material should be simpler

- Forbid unrolling when stupid:
  `for (int i=0; i<N+min(0,positive not const); i++)`

- Special flags and qualifiers : [out of my competence]
  `#pragma optimize(off), varying, coherent, volatile, restrict, readonly, writeonly… [?]`

→ Recommendations

- Calculus model: pipelined

```
loop ( march ray ) → hit point
compute N, material
loop ( march shadow ) → I
compute shading
```

- Calculus model: deferred

[added gift: better for registers]

```
pass 1 → storage
storage → pass2
```

→ Recommendations

- Calculus model: pipelined

```
loop ( march ray ) → hit point
compute N, material
loop ( march shadow ) → I
compute shading
```

- Calculus model: deferred

[added gifts: better for registers , dFdx]

```
pass 1 → storage
storage → pass2
```

→ Recommendations

Calculus model: pipelined

→ GigaVoxels : octree with voxel grids in not empty nodes

- bad:

[warp might get divergent, even if all grids]

```
while (march ray through octree) {
    if (grid) march_grid();
}
```

- good:

```
while (not finished) {
    step 1 octree node;
    if (grid) march_grid();
}
```

Some more details : optimizer [nvidia, linux]

- pull from output:
  - unused code removed (comprising unused vec4 components)
  - might unmap uniforms
- some pattern detection, but… [sqrt, invsqrt, length, normalize…]
- test 1 :
  - factor expr(uniform) out of loop; recognize *0 [!:nan,inf . const != not const]
  - don't detect empty loop
- test 2 :
  - detect empty loop j
  - don't factor expr(i) out of loop j
- test 3 : recognize expr already calculated
  - only if it was end result: expr+1 not help expr-1
  - still, 1.*expr-0. seen as expr

`get_program_binary()` vs `> cgc bug.glsl -ogles -profile fp40` [nvidia-cg-toolkit]

Some more details

- multiple compilations
  - compiler tries multiple optimization strategies
  - at runtime: perf increases with time !

[Angle ? might timeout ?]

[jitc ? precompiled variants ? const uniforms]

- no branch prediction
  - no Spectre exploit on GPU :-)
  - order tests by decreasing probability

- generalization
  - to Cuda ? OpenCL ?
  - to C ?